

Journal of Hazardous Materials B133 (2006) 291-298

Journal of Hazardous Materials

www.elsevier.com/locate/jhazmat

Degradation of 17α -ethinylestradiol in aqueous solution by ozonation

Xia Zhang^a, Pengyu Chen^a, Feng Wu^a, Nansheng Deng^{a,*}, Jiantong Liu^b, Tao Fang^b

^a School of Resources and Environmental Science, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430079, PR China ^b Institute of hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Science, Wuhan 430072, PR China

Received 17 May 2005; received in revised form 13 October 2005; accepted 17 October 2005 Available online 18 January 2006

Abstract

This study investigates the ozonation of 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE₂) in aqueous solution. The affecting factors on the degradation of EE₂ were studied and described in details, such as initial EE₂ concentration, initial pH value and ozone concentration. In addition, some parameters such as pH, electrical conductivity, mineralization efficiency and degradation products were monitored during the process. The mineralization efficiency of EE₂ could reach 53.9%. During the ozonation process the rapid decrease of pH and the sharp increase of electrical conductivity indicated the formation of acidic by-products, small fragments and ions which were confirmed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis. Results showed that there were intermediate products of smaller molecule with higher polarity produced during the course of EE₂ degradation. Then a possible reaction pathway for EE₂ degradation involving all intermediates detected is proposed. During the ozonation process EE₂ was first oxidized into hydroxyl-semiquinone isomers which were subsequently degraded into low molecular weight compounds such as oxalic acid, malonate, glutarate, and so on. Furthermore, these organic acids are easily oxidized by ozone into carbon dioxide (CO₂). This work shows that ozonation process is promising for the removal of EE₂. The results can provide some useful information for the potential treatment of EE₂ by ozonation in aqueous solution.

© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: 17a-Ethinylestradiol (EE2); Ozonation; Hydroxyl radical; Intermediate; Mechanism

1. Introduction

Recently, more chemical materials were released into the environment. Several types of these chemicals have been suggested to affect the health of human and wildlife and confirmed as the Environmental Endocrine Disruptors. Abnormal sexual development of animal and decrease in the average numbers of human spermatozoa are widely reported [1]. Thus, the environmental presence of compounds with estrogenic properties has become a major issue of worldwide concern [2]. The research on removal, transformation and degradation products of the environmental endocrine disruptors are necessary.

The synthetic estrogen 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE₂), used in contraceptive pills, is known to enter the aquatic environment via sewage effluents, thereby posing a threat to aquatic wildlife [3].

0304-3894/\$ – see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jhazmat.2005.10.026

 EE_2 has been shown to induce the synthesis of the yolk-precursor protein vitellogenin in male rainbow trout at a concentration as low as 0.1 ng L^{-1} [4], and it is difficult to remove EE_2 from wastewaters by primary and secondary treatment. New methods are needed for removing it from wastewater prior to their release into waters.

The degradation of this compound has not been extensively studied. There are few published articles studying the degradation of EE_2 in the environment or lab. Vader et al. [5] examined degradation of 50 µg L⁻¹ EE₂ by nitrifying activated sludge and found that oxidation of EE₂ resulted in the formation of hydrophilic compounds with lower estrogenic activity. Segmuller et al. reported the photodegradation of EE_2 in solid state [6]. Furthermore, the Photodegradation of EE_2 in aqueous solution could be accelerated in the presence of Fe³⁺ or Anabaena cylindrical [7]. Rudder et al. [8] investigated the removal of EE_2 with the manganese oxide used as sorbent and catalyzer.

But generally the procedures time was long for these methods, so it is necessary to develop effective technology to remove EE₂.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 27 87152919; fax: +86 27 87882661. *E-mail address:* nsdeng@whu.edu.cn (N. Deng).

In the early 19th century, people knew that ozone was a strong oxidant. The standard electrode potential (E_0) is 2.07 V in acidity medium and 1.27 V in alkaline medium, respectively. Theoretically, it can provide sufficient energy in aqueous solution to degrade the persistent chemical substance. The treatments of various organics and inorganics with ozone are extensively discussed in the literature [9]. The main effects of ozonation are decolorization, elimination of taste and odors, degradation of organics and disinfection [10]. Bowers et al. [11] also showed that ozonation product could be finally converted to CO₂ and H₂O in special conditions. Moreover, excess ozone compared to other oxidants, could be decomposed to oxygen without harmful residue [12]. So, ozone becomes increasingly important as an effective and environmental friendly oxidation agent in many fields [13].

Huber et al. [14,15] investigated the removal of 17α ethinylestradiol by ozonation, they studied the estrogenic activity of aqueous solution of EE₂ using Yeast Estrogen Screen (YES) and identified the oxidation products by LC/MS and GC/MS with the help of the model compounds. In this work, we selected 17α -ethinylestradiol as target compounds and aimed at investigating the affecting factors on EE₂ degradation, mineralization degree of EE₂, and intermediate products during ozonation process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

 17α -Ethinylestradiol (EE₂) (>98%) was commercially obtained from the Sigma (USA) and used without further purification. The molecule structure of EE₂ is shown in Fig. 1.

Ozone was generated using a Proton Exchange Membrane (PEM) electrolysis ozone generator provided by Prof. Yuanquan, Zhou, Department of Chemistry, Wuhan University. Absolute acetonitrile was used as co-solvent of EE₂. BSTFA+TMCS (99:1) were purchased from the Sigma (USA). HCl, NaOH, Ba(OH)₂ were all analytical-grade, and doubly distilled water was used throughout.

2.2. Experimental procedures

For very low aqueous solubility of 17α -ethinylestradiol (EE₂), acetonitrile was used as the organic solvent. Stock solution was initially prepared at 337 µmol L⁻¹ by dissolving EE₂ into acetonitrile:water mixture (40:60, v/v). Acetonitrile is miscible in water and has a low reactivity with ozone ($t_{1/2} > 18$ years,



Fig. 1. The molecule structure of EE_2 .

at pH 7.0). Moreover, peroxides will not form during ozonation [16–18].

Various EE₂ concentrations could be made from stock solution by dilution. Ozone was bubbled into the EE₂ solution, which was continuously mixed using a magnetic stir bar at 80 rpm. The yield of O₃ was11.6 μ mol min⁻¹, determined by means of iodometry [19]. The flow rate of O₃ gas could be controlled by a needle valve, through which different ozone dosage added into the system could be controlled. The superfluous ozone was adsorbed by activated carbon, and the reactions were terminated by flushing the reactor with nitrogen gas. A 2N Na₂S₂O₃ was added to the system to remove the residual O₃ and organic radical prior to analysis [17,18,20,21].

The pH of solution was adjusted to the desired values by HCl or NaOH. Ozonation experiments were carried out at initial EE₂ concentration 8.4–67.6 μ mol L⁻¹ under the condition of constant ozone amount 11.6 μ mol min⁻¹, anther experiment were carried out at ozone amount 0.7–11.6 μ mol min⁻¹ under the condition of initial EE₂ concentration 33.7 μ mol L⁻¹. Without special elucidation, the initial concentration of EE₂ was 33.7 μ mol L⁻¹. In order to monitor the ozonation process, the pH value and electrical conductivity of a solution was measured before and after an experiment [22] by using AY120 pH-meter (SHIMADZU) and a conductivity meter DDS-11. All the experiments were conducted at 25 ± 1 °C.

2.3. Analysis

2.3.1. EE_2 determination

At different intervals, the EE₂ samples obtained under different conditions were taken out. Then the EE₂ concentration of the sample was analyzed with fluorescence spectrophotometer [7] using fluorescence spectrometer F-4500 (Hitachi, Japan). Its measurement type was wavelength scan, scan mode: emission. The main parameters of the Fluorescence photometer measurement were as follows: scan speed: 240 nm min^{-1} ; delay: 0 s; EX slit: 2.5 nm; EM slit: 10.0 nm; PMT voltage: 700 V s; response: 8.0 s. The fluorescence intensity of EE_2 was measured in a 1 cm quartz cell. Excitation and emission wavelengths used were set at 287 and 315 cm respectively. The detection limit of this fluorescence method was $0.6 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$, and the relative standard deviation was below 2%. In these measurements, others reagents (except EE₂) used in the aqueous solution had no fluorescence (or emission peak) at EX wavelength 287 nm and they have no effects on detection of EE₂.

2.3.2. HPLC analysis

The results determined by fluorescence spectrophotometer also have been examined by reversed-phase HPLC equipped with UV detector. HPLC (with a 150 mm × 4.6 mm, Supelco C18 column) condition was: mobile phase was the mixture of acetonitrile-water (50:50, v/v) at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹ by the HPLC pump. The water sample was injected into the column and detected by an UV detector at 280 nm. The injection volume was 20 μ L. Both results are same. So, the results from determination using fluorescence spectrophotometer are reliable.

2.3.3. CO_2 measurement

Carbon dioxide produced upon ozonation was determined as $BaCO_3$ [23] to inspect the mineralization degree of the EE₂ solution oxidized by ozonation. CO₂ was removed from solution by nitrogen gas and introduced into a concentrated $Ba(OH)_2$ solution to produce $BaCO_3$. The solution were collected, $BaCO_3$ was allowed to precipitate and excess of $Ba(OH)_2$ was titrated with 0.01677 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution with phenolphthalein as indicator. A blank experiment without EE₂ was performed under the same conditions.

2.3.4. GC/MS analysis

Ozonated samples were preconcentrated using solid-phase extraction (SPE) on small C₁₈ cartridges (Isolute Co., USA). The cartridges were first conditioned with 5 mL methanol, acetone and 5 mL water, and then 500 mL sample was passed through the SPE tube. Finally elute the organics by 5 mL methanol and acetone, respectively. Then the extractions were evaporated to dryness using Kuderna–Danish evaporation followed by N2 gas bubbling. The completely dried sample was derived by silylation using BSTFA+TMCS (99:1) at 90 °C for 1h to convert all free -OH and -COOH groups into their volatile TMS-ether (-OSiMe₃) and TMS-ester (-CO₂SiMe₃) derivatives, respectively [18]. Then the samples were identified by GC/MS. A Varian 3400 chromatograph was used, equipped with a septum programmable injector Varian SPI 1093 and coupled with a thermostated transfer line with a mass spectrometric detector Varian Saturn II. The GC/MS column was a DB-5MS (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA), $60 \text{ m} \times 0.25 \text{ mm}$ i.d., thickness $0.25 \mu \text{m}$. Helium (N60) was the carrier gas (flow rate 1.2 mLmin^{-1}). The GC injection port temperature was 250 °C, and the column temperature was fixed at 80 °C for 8 min, and then programmed from 80 to $280 \,^{\circ}$ C at $8 \,^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹, the final temperature being maintained for 30 min. The transfer line and ion source were maintained at 170 °C and 180 °C, respectively. The MS detector was operated in the EI mode. Scanning in the range m/z 40–650 amu at 1.4 scan s^{-1} . The intermediate products were identified using an identification program of U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Library.

2.3.5. Data analysis

The data are presented as means from triplicate experiments. The errors are below 5% and figures are presented without error bars.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Effect of initial EE₂ concentration

To examine the effect of initial concentration of EE_2 on degradation of EE_2 , five solution (8.4–67.6 μ mol L⁻¹) of EE_2 were expose to ozone. The results are shown in Fig. 2.

As shown in Fig. 2, the higher the initial EE_2 concentration, the lower EE_2 degradation efficiency was obtained. The degradation efficiency reached 76.3% for 8.4 μ mol L⁻¹ EE_2 after ozonation for 5 min, while the efficiency is only 12.0% for 67.6 μ mol L⁻¹ EE_2 .



Fig. 2. Effect of initial EE₂ concentration on the degradation efficiency pH 6.5, gas flow rate was 48 mL min⁻¹, $[O_3] = 11.6 \,\mu$ mol min⁻¹.

3.2. Effect of ozone concentration

The ozone dosage was controlled by means of altering the flow rate of ozone. The effect of different ozone concentrations on degradation efficiency is shown in Fig. 3.

With increasing of O_3 concentration, the degradation efficiency increased. The degradation efficiency is 84.6% for 11.6 μ mol min⁻¹ ozone concentration at 10 min, while the efficiency is only 11.7% for 0.7 μ mol min⁻¹ ozone concentration.

3.3. Effect of initial pH value

The degradation experiment was repeated over the range of 4.0–8.0, the result as a function of pH is shown in Fig. 4. There was an increase in the degradation efficiency with increasing pH value. Degradation efficiency varied from 33.7% to 95.4% when the pH values ranged from 4.0 to 8.0 after ozonation for 20 min. In general, ozone reacts with organic compounds in water and wastewater via two different pathways. Ozone may react directly with chemical molecules in aqueous solution; it may also generate secondary oxidants (mainly hydroxyl radical) to oxidize molecules [24–26]. •OH is a stronger and less-selective oxidant



Fig. 3. Effect of ozone concentration on the degradation of EE_2 [EE_2]₀ = 33.7 μ mol L⁻¹, pH 6.5, gas flow rate was 48 mL min⁻¹.



Fig. 4. Effect of pH value on the degradation efficiency of EE_2 [EE_2]₀ = 33.7 μ mol L⁻¹, pH 6.5, [O₃] = 11.6 μ mol min⁻¹.

than ozone, its reactions rate constants are about nine orders of magnitude greater than those of ozone for the same organic compound [27–29]. The pH values affect the reaction process by having impact on the rate of the ozone decomposition and the formation of hydroxyl radicals, which is favored at high pH values [12,31]. According to Yao et al. [17,19], ozone is autocatalytically decomposed to form hydroxyl radicals in aqueous solution at pH>4.0. Formation of secondary free radicals is shown as follows [30,31]:

 $O_3 + OH^- \rightarrow O_3^{\bullet -} + HO_2^{\bullet}$ $O_3 + OH^- \rightarrow HO_2^- + O_2$ $HO_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2^{\bullet} + O_3^{\bullet -}$ $O_2^{\bullet -} + O_3 \rightarrow O_3^{\bullet} + O_2$

$$HO_3 \bullet \to \bullet OH + O_2$$

3.4. Varieties of the pH value and electrical conductivity of the solution

During the ozonation process, the pH values and electrical conductivity of the solution were measured. Results are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5 shows that pH values dropped from 6.5 to 5.9, and the conductance varied from 13.7 to $50.3 \,\mu\text{S}$ after ozonation for 20 min. Concentration of H⁺ almost increased by four-fold which indicated the formation of acidic reaction intermediates. Besides, the ionization of these acids led to the increase of electrical conductivity and the decrease of pH value.

3.5. Result of HPLC chromatogram analysis

Products were detected by HPLC chromatogram after ozonation for different reaction time. The result is shown in Fig. 6.



Fig. 5. Varieties of the pH and electrical conductivity of the solution $[EE_2]_0 = 33.7 \,\mu\text{mol } L^{-1}$, initial pH 6.5, $[O_3] = 11.6 \,\mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$.



Fig. 6. HPLC chromatographs of EE₂ degradation by ozonation $[EE_2]_0 = 33.7 \,\mu\text{mol } L^{-1}$, pH 6.5, $[O_3] = 11.6 \,\mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$, $\lambda = 280 \,\text{nm}$.

In the HPLC chromatogram, the peaks corresponding to EE_2 decreased, and there were obvious product peaks at shorter retention time after ozonation for 2 min, indicating that some intermediate products of lower molecular weight and higher



Fig. 7. Mineralization efficiency of EE₂ at different reaction time $[EE_2]_0 = 33.7 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}$, temperature = 25 ± 1 °C, pH 6.5, $[O_3] = 11.6 \,\mu\text{mol}\,min^{-1}$.



Fig. 8. Total ion chromatogram of sample oxidized for 2 min.

Table 1 Mass spectral data for EE_2 ozonation intermediates (2 min)

| Products | GC retention time | Molecular weight of parent compound | Important ion peaks, m/z | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--|
| HO HO O | 16.32 | 312 | 124 | 91 | 79 | | |
| OH H,C HO C≡CH | 16.52 | 312 | 171 | 123 | 91 | | |
| H,C ^{HO} ,C≡CH OH ·TMS | 18.69 | 312 | 353 | 196 | 160 | 133 | |

Table 2 Mass spectral data for EE_2 ozonation intermediates (20 min) $% EE_2$

| Products Bis(trimethylsiyl) oxalate | GC retention time (min) 11.18 | Molecular weight of | | | Important ion peaks, m/z | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | Parent compound 90 | TMS derivative ^a | | | | | | |
| | | | 234 | 234(<i>M</i> ^{•+}) | 219 | 190 | 149 | 147 | |
| Bis(trimethylsiyl) malonate | 13.02 | 104 | 248 | $248(M^{\bullet+})$ | 233 | 149 | 147 | | |
| Bis(trimethylsiyl) succinate | 15.63 | 118 | 262 | $262(M^{\bullet+})$ | 247 | 172 | 149 | 147 | |
| Bis(trimethylsiyl) glutarate | 17.33 | 132 | 276 | $276(M^{\bullet+})$ | 261 | 233 | 158 | 149 | 147 |
| Bis(trimethylsiyl) adipate | 19.07 | 146 | 290 | 290(<i>M</i> ^{•+}) | 275 | 185 | 141 | 111 | |

^a Theoretical value based on the molecular weight of the proposed TMS derivative.

polarity were formed [32]. The peak 1 disappeared after ozonation for 4 min. Area of peak 2 increased and then decreased with reaction time. All of these phenomenons indicate that the parent compound and the intermediate products could be further oxidized by ozonation.

3.6. Mineralization of EE_2

To investigate the mineralization degree of EE_2 in ozone system, the experiment of EE_2 degradation by ozonation were conducted under the condition of O₃ concentration was 11.6 µmol min⁻¹, and the amount of CO₂ produced by the ozonation reaction was measured after ozonation for different reaction time. The result is shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7 showed that mineralization efficiency of EE_2 increased from 18.1% at 5 min to 53.9% at 20 min. Further oxidation

of parent compound and intermediate products induced the increase of mineralization of EE_2 .

3.7. Results of GC/MS chromatogram analysis

To elucidate the structures of the intermediates, the sample oxidized by ozone for 2 min and 20 min were prepared as before and subjected to GC/MS analysis, respectively. Several peaks appeared in the chromatogram, total ion chromatograms of the samples were given in Figs. 8 and 9. Tables 1 and 2 reported the molecular weight of each detected by-products as well as the major ions in their ion spray mass spectra.

The results show that EE_2 was first oxidized by hydroxyl radical into semiquinone, which were finally degraded into organic acids and carbon dioxide. These could explain the decreasing of the solution pH and the increasing of the electrical conductivity



Scheme 1. Proposed pathways for EE₂ oxidation by ozone.



Fig. 9. Total ion chromatogram of sample oxidized for 20 min.

of the solution in ozonation process. Ohko et al. [1] evaluated the frontier electron densities (FEDs) for the E_2 molecule, indicating that the $2FED_{HOMO}^2$ was higher at phenol moiety, especially at the C_{10} and C_3 atoms (the values were 0.508 and 0.364, respectively). So, C_{10} or C_3 atoms should be the sites at which the first electron is extracted. The reaction may be initiated by addition of the •OH at the C_2 and C_5 atoms on the basis of $FED_{HOMO}^2 + FED_{LOMO}^2$ values. The EE₂ molecule structure is similar to E_2 , and the ethinyl will not affect the frontier electron densities much. So, EE_2 will be degraded in a similar manner. The mechanism of EE_2 decomposition by ozonation is proposed in Scheme 1.

The first addition of ${}^{\bullet}$ OH radical occurs at the C₂ atom, and the corresponding *o*-hydroxyl-EE₂ radical could be produced. Then the ${}^{\bullet}$ OH attack was followed by a dehydration reaction, and EE₂ semiquinone radical, a resonance structure, had been produced (but this intermediate had not been detected by GC/MS). Then EE₂ semiquinone would be produced by direct attack of ${}^{\bullet}$ OH radical at the C₁₀ and C₂ atoms of the resonance structure. Subsequently, further oxidation led to the breakdown of their aromatic structures, and then organic acids and carbon dioxide were formed.

4. Conclusion

The oxidation of EE₂ in aqueous solution has been studied by means of ozonation. Under the experimental condition ozone system demonstrated to be capable of removing EE₂. The mineralization efficiency of EE₂ could reach 53.9% at pH 6.5 when ozone concentration was 11.6 μ mol min⁻¹. Initial EE₂ concentration and pH value had effects on degradation efficiency of EE₂, which increased with increasing initial pH value of the solution, ozone concentration and decreased with increasing initial EE₂ concentration. During the course of EE₂ degradation, the pH value decreased and the electrical conductivity of the solution increased with reaction time.

HPLC and GC/MS analysis allowed the identification of intermediates and products. The results showed that EE_2 could be degraded to products of lower molecular weight with greater polarity. The GC/MS chromatogram analysis indicated that the products were semiquinones, which were further oxidized to organic acid with smaller molecular weight (oxalic acid, malonate, glutarate, etc.). The results obtained indicated that ozonation is a highly effective way to remove EE_2 from wastewater and drinking water.

Acknowledgements

The Natural Science Foundation of PR China (No. 20477031) financed this research.

References

- [1] Y. Ohko, K. Iuchi, C. Niwa, T. Tatsuma, T. Nakashima, T. Iguchi, Y. Kubota, A. Fujishima, 17β-Estradiol degradation by TiO₂ photocatalysis as a means of reducing estrogenic activity, Environ. Sci. Technol. 36 (2002) 4175–4181.
- [2] S. Rodriguez-Mozaz, M.J. López de Alda, D. Barceló, Monitoring of estrogens, pesticides and bisphenol A in natural waters and drinking water treatment plants by solid-phase extraction–liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1045 (1–2) (2004) 85–92.
- [3] C.R. Tyler, S. Jobling, J.P. Sumpter, Endocrine disruption in wildlife: a critical review of the evidence, Crit. Rev. Toxicol. 28 (1998) 319–361.
- [4] C.E. Purdom, P.A. Hardiman, V.J. Bye, et al., Estrogenic effects of effluents from sewage treatment works, Chem. Ecol. 8 (1994) 275–285.
- [5] J.S. Vader, C.G. Van Ginkel, F.M.G.M. Sperling, J. de Jong, W. de Boer, J.S. de Graaf, M. Van der Most, P.G.W. Stockmen, Degradation of ethinyl estradiol by nitrifying activated sludge, Chemosphere 41 (8) (2000) 1239–1243.
- [6] B.E. Segmuller, B.L. Armstrong, R. Dunphy, et al., Identification of autoxidation and photodegradation products of ethynylestradiol by online HPLC-NMR and HPLC-MC, J. Pharm. Anal. 23 (2000) 927–937.
- [7] X.L. Liu, F. Wu, N.S. Deng, Photodegradation of 17α -ethinylestradiol in aqueous solution exposed to a high-pressure mercury lamp (250 W), Environ. Pollut. 126 (2003) 393–398.
- [8] J. Rudder, T.V. Wiele, W. Dhooge, F. Comhaire, W. Verstraete, Advanced water treatment with manganese oxide for the removal of 17αethynylestradiol (EE2), Water Res. 38 (1) (2004) 184–192.
- [9] Z. Parisheva, V. Demirev, Ozonization of ethanolamine in aqueous medium, Water Res. 34 (4) (2000) 1340–1344.
- [10] Y. Magara, M. Itoh, T. Morioka, Application of ozone to water treatment and power consumption of ozone generating systems, Progr. Nuclear Energy 29 (1995) 175–182.
- [11] A.R. Bowers, et al., Chemical Oxidation of Aromatic Compounds: Comparison of H₂O₂, KMnO₄ and for Toxicity, Department of civil Engineering Vanderbilt University, USA, 1990.
- [12] A.H. Konsowa, Decolorization of wastewater containing direct dye by ozonation in a batch bubble column reactor, Desalination 158 (1–3) (2003) 233–240.
- [13] S. Liakou, M. Komaros, G. Lyberatos, Pretreatment of azo dyes using ozone, Water Sci. Technol. 36 (2–3) (1997) 155–163.
- [14] M.M. Huber, S. Canonica, GY. Park, G.U. Von, Oxidation of pharmaceuticals during ozonation and advanced oxidation processes, Environ. Sci. Technol. 37 (5) (2003) 1016–1024.
- [15] M.M. Huber, T.A. Ternes, U.V. Gunten, Removal of activity and formation of oxidation products during ozonation of 17α-ethinylestradiol, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (19) (2004) 5177–5186.

- [16] C.D.D. Yao, W.R. Haag, Rate constants for direct reaction of ozone with several drinking water contaminants, Water Res. 25 (1991) 761– 773.
- [17] J.J. Yao, Z.H. Huang, S.J. Masten, The ozonation of pyrene: pathway and product identification, Water Res. 32 (10) (1998) 3001–3012.
- [18] J.J. Yao, Z.H. Huang, S.J. Masten, The ozonation of benz[a]anthracene: pathway and product identification, Water Res. 32 (11) (1998) 3235–3244.
- [19] American Public Health Association (APHA), Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastwater, 14th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, USA, 1975.
- [20] H.S. Park, T.M. Hwang, J.W. Kang, H. Choi, H.J. Oh, Characterization of raw water for the ozone application measuring ozone consumption rate, Water Res. 35 (11) (2001) 2607–2614.
- [21] C. Zwiener, F.H. Frimmel, Oxidative treatment of pharmaceuticals in water, Water Res. 34 (6) (2000) 1881–1885.
- [22] C.X. Wang, A. Yediler, D. Lienert, et al., Ozonation of an azo dye C. I. Remazol Black 5 and toxicological assessment of its oxidation products, Chemosphere 52 (2003) 1225–1232.
- [23] O. Bajt, G. Mailhot, M. Bolte, Degradation of dibutyl phthalate by homogeneous photocatalysis with Fe(III) in aqueous solution, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 33 (3) (2001) 239–248.
- [24] J. Hoigné, H. Bader, Role of hydroxyl radical reaction in ozonation processes in aqueous solution, Water Res. 10 (10) (1976) 337– 384.

- [25] C.N. Chang, J.G. Lin, A.C. Chao, B.C. Cho, R.F. Yu, The pretreatment of acrylonitrile and styrene with the ozonation process, Water Sci Technol. 36 (2–3) (1997) 263–270.
- [26] Y.H. Chen, C.Y. Chang, C.C. Chen, C.Y. Chiu, Y.H. Yu, P.C. Chiang, Y. Ku, J.N. Chen, C.F. Chang, Decomposition of 2-mercaptothiazoline in aqueous solution by ozonation, Chemosphere 56 (2) (2004) 133–140.
- [27] J. Hoigné, H. Bader, Rate constants of reactions of ozone with organic and inorganic compounds in water. I. Non-dissociating organic compounds, Water Res. 17 (1983) 173–183.
- [28] I.A. Balcioglu, N. Getoff, M. Bekbölet, A comparative study for the synergistic effect of ozone on the gamma-irradiated and photocatalytic reaction of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde, J. Photochem. Photobiol A: Chem. 135 (2–3) (2000) 229–233.
- [29] G. Masolo, A. Lopez, H. James, M. Fielding, By-products formation during degradation of isoproturon in aqueous solution. I. Ozonation, Water Res. 35 (7) (2001) 1695–1704.
- [30] J. Staechelin, J. Hoigne, Decomposition of ozone in water: rate of initiation by hydroxide ions and hydrogen peroxide, Environ. Sci. Technol. 16 (10) (1982) 676–681.
- [31] P.K.A. Hong, Y. Zeng, Degradation of pentachlorophenol by ozonation and biodegradability of intermediates, Water Res. 36 (17) (2002) 4243–4254.
- [32] J. Ma, N.J.D. Graham, Degradation of atrazine by manganese-catalysed ozonation—influence of radical scavengers, Water Res. 34 (15) (2000) 3822–3828.